

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/602,555 Lothar U. Kempen M-15218-3C US 06/23/2003 1187 EXAMINER 7590 04/05/2004 David S. Park PHAM, HOA Q MacPherson Kwok Chen & Heid LLP **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER Suite 226 1762 Technology Drive 2877 San Jose, CA 95110

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			•
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- //M
	10/602,555	KEMPEN, LOTHAR	u. W
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hoa Q. Pham	2877	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days of If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. ER 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
, ,	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un	,	•	erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 35-67 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 35-67 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	hdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exact 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 23 June 2003 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous The oath or declaration is objected to by the	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square objeto the drawing(s) be held in abeyand correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in A e priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Sta	age
Attachment/s)			
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview 9	Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	l8) Paper No(s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)

Application/Control Number: 10/602,555

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 35-67 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-32 of U.S. Patent No. 6,594,011 (Kempen).

Claims 35, 36, 47-50, 37, 51, 38-40, 52, 53, 41, 54-55, 42, 56-58, 43, 59, 44-46, 60-62, 65-67 of the present invention are corresponding to claims 1-27, 29, 27, 31 and 32 of the patent's claims. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present independent claims 35 and 60 are broader than what was claimed in the patent's claims 1 and 26 and all of the limitations of claims can be read on the patent's claims.

Regarding claims 63 and 64, detecting the specimen array in a micro-titer plate is well known in the art. Thus, It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the basis device of Kempen to detect

Application/Control Number: 10/602,555

Art Unit: 2877

*

the specimen array in a micro-tier plate because the device would function in the same manner.

3. Since only one rejection type (obviousness type double patenting) in the application, the application will be allowed if a proper Terminal Disclaimer is filed. Thus, the following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

There was no prior art found by the examiner that suggested modification or combination with the cited art so as to satisfy the combination of all the limitations in claims 35 and 60.

As to claims 35 and 60, the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render limitations "....a specimen array in the evanescent field causing spatially distributed polarization changes in the cross-section of the light beam", "detecting the spatially distributed polarization changes cause by the specimen array" and "processing the detected spatially distributed polarization changes to provide an image of the specimen array", in combination with the rest of the limitations of claims 35 and 60.

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Following references are relative to the present invention: Butzer (5,483,346), Naya et al (5,856,873), Carter et al (4,508,832), Stewart (5,229,833), Cush (5,255,075), King et al (5,437,840 and 5,633,724), Foster (5,485,277), Stewart et al (5,491,556).

Art Unit: 2877

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoa Q. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-2426. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30AM to 6 PM, Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G. Font can be reached on (571) 272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hoa Q. Pham

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2877

HP

March 26, 2004